Blockade by mazindol of brain 5-HT depletion induced by *p*-chloromethamphetamine*

MICHELE O. CARRUBA[†], GIOVANNI B. PICOTTI, FERNANDA ZAMBOTTI, PAOLO MANTEGAZZA, Department of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, University of Milan, Via Vanitelli 32, 20129 Milan, Italy

Mazindol (5-hydroxy-5-p-chlorophenyl-2,3-dihydro-5Himidazo-2,1-a-isoindole), a potent anorexigenic agent (Zambotti, Carruba & others, 1976), has been shown previously to inhibit the high-affinity uptake of labelled 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) by rat (Buczko, de Gaetano & Garattini, 1975) and guinea-pig (Picotti, Carruba & others, 1977) blood platelets in vitro. It has been suggested that it competes with 5-HT for the same transport mechanism at the cytoplasmic membrane (Picotti & others, 1977). The inhibition of 5-HT uptake in rat forebrain synaptosomes has also been demonstrated in vitro (Carruba, Picotti & others, 1977). Moreover, synaptosomes isolated from the forebrain of rats 1 h after the injection of different doses of mazindol showed a marked reduction in the 5-HT uptake compared with synaptosomes from the forebrains of untreated animals. A dose as low as $2.1 \mu mol$ kg⁻¹ had a significant effect while 35 μ mol kg⁻¹ inhibited uptake by approximately 45%. In the same experimental conditions chlorimipramine, another inhibitor of 5-HT uptake (Carlsson, Corrodi & others, 1969), at low doses was less effective, while when given at 35 μ mol kg⁻¹ it showed a more pronounced effect compared with an equimolar dose of mazindol. The in vitro inhibition of 5-HT uptake by forebrain synaptosomes isolated from rats pretreated in vivo with 35 μ mol kg⁻¹ of mazindol reached a peak 1 h after treatment and returned to normal within 10 h (Carruba & others, 1977). The inhibitory effect of mazindol on 5-HT uptake was not accompanied by a concomitant release of 5-HT from its storage depots (Carruba & others, 1977; Picotti & others, 1977). The present work is an extension of the above observation with the aim of further investigating the ability of mazindol to inhibit the 5-HT transport mechanism in rat brain synaptosomes in vivo. Experiments were made to determine whether mazindol might inhibit 5-HT depletion caused by the administration of pchloromethamphetamine (pCMA), a drug which uses the 5-HT uptake pump to be transported into the neurons (Meek, Fuxe & Carlsson, 1971). The ability of mazindol to antagonize pCMA-induced 5-HT depletion was compared with that of chlorimipramine a drug already shown to be active at the dose used in counteracting the pCMA depleting action (Meek & others, 1971).

Albino Wistar male rats, Zambon S.p.A. Milan, 140-160 g, were injected intraperitoneally with pCMA

(38 μ mol kg⁻¹). Chlorimipramine HCl or mazindol (38 μ mol kg⁻¹) were injected twice intraperitoneally (15 min and 2 h after injection of pCMA). The animals were decapitated 4 h later. The brains were quickly removed and frozen on dry ice. Spectrofluorimetric analyses for 5-HT and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) were made as described by Curzon & Green (1970). All groups consisted of 6 animals. Statistical comparisons among groups were made by the Dunnet test (Dunnet, 1964).

As shown in Table 1, pCMA provoked a marked decrease in brain 5-HT content 4 h after injection, while mazindol and chlorimipramine did not alter

Table 1. Effect of mazindol and chlorimipramine on the depletion of brain 5-HT induced by p-chloromethamphetamine (pCMA) and on 5-HIAA brain concentrations,

			_
Treatment	Dose µmol kg ⁻¹ , ip	5-HT $\mu g g^{-1} \pm s.e.$	5-HIAA μ g g ⁻¹ ± s.e.
Saline		0.68 ± 0.01	0.42 ± 0.01
pCMA	38	$0.43 \pm 0.03*$	_ · · ·
Mazindol	76	0.65 ± 0.01	0.43 ± 0.01
Chlorimipramine	76	0.70 ± 0.06	$0.29 \pm 0.01 =$
pCMA + mazindol pCMA +	38 + 76		
chlorimipramine	38 + 76	0.67 ± 0.02	
-			

* $P \leq 0.01$ as compared to the saline treated animals. Each value is the mean \pm s.e. of 6 determinations. Significance of difference among groups was calculated by the Dunnet test.

brain 5-HT concentrations. When mazindol and chlorimipramine were given in two doses after pCMA administration, the brain 5-HT depletion induced by pCMA was completely antagonized. Under these experimental conditions mazindol and chlorimipramine were equally potent in counteracting the action of pCMA.

It has been suggested that chlorimipramine competitively antagonizes the active transport of pCMA into neurons via a membrane pump responsible for 5-HT uptake (Meek & others, 1971). Mazindol might be operating through a similar mechanism in this effect and it has been shown *in vitro* to inhibit competitively the active transport of 5-HT through the outer membranes of platelets (Picotti & others, 1977). Similar conclusions were reached by studying the inhibition of 5-HT uptake into synaptosomes obtained

^{*} Supported by C.N.R. grant no. 75.00620.04.115.2380

[†] Correspondence.

from the forebrains of mazindol-pretreated animals (Carruba & others, 1977).

Mazindol, however, seems to differ in another aspect from other 5-HT uptake inhibitors, such as imipramine (Bruinvels, 1972), Lilly 110140 (3-(p-trifuoromethylphenoxy)-N-methyl-3-phenyl-propylamine hydrochloride) (Fuller, Perry & Molloy, 1974) and chlorimipramine (Collard & Roberts 1974), in that it lacks their ability to decrease rat brain 5-HIAA concentrations. Brain 5-HIAA concentrations were not significantly different from those of the controls at any dose and any time studied. Doses of the drug of 8.7, 17.5 and 35 μ mol kg⁻¹ (s.c.) showed no significant variation in 5-HIAA content which ranged from 90.1 \pm 5.5 to 107.5 \pm 5.7 (% of controls) (Dunnet test) n = 6-10, control value $0.04 \pm 0.02 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$. No change in the brain 5-HIAA content was detected by Garattini, Bizzi & others, (1975) 2 h after the injection of 52 µmol kg⁻¹ of mazindol.

On the other hand, imipramine, Lilly 110140 and chlorimipramine have been reported to induce a

decrease in brain 5-HIAA, probably through a decline in the 5-HT turnover rate which compensates for overstimulated receptor sites due to blockade of the reuptake of 5-HT at the nerve terminals (Da Prada & Pletscher, 1966; Corrodi & Fuxe, 1968; 1969; Fuller & others, 1974). The failure of mazindol to decrease the 5-HIAA brain concentrations, even when injected in high doses (Table 1), suggests that this drug operates in this area through a different mechanism which does not involve a compensatory reduction of 5-HT turnover rate. Previous data from this laboratory, showing that mazindol did not modify the pargylineinduced decline in 5-HIAA (Carruba, Groppetti & others, 1976) or the probenecid-induced accumulation of 5-HIAA (Carruba, Zambotti & others, 1975) in the rat brain, seem to support this hypothesis.

In conclusion our data show that mazindol, like chlorimipramine, inhibits 5-HT uptake *in vivo* but, unlike chlorimipramine it does not reduce the brain 5-HIAA concentrations.

November 2, 1976

REFERENCES

BRUINVELS, J. (1972). Eur. J. Pharmac., 20, 231-237.

BUCZKO, W., DE GAETANO, G. & GARATTINI, S. (1975). J. Pharm. Pharmac., 27, 366-368.

CARLSSON, A., CORRODI, H., FUXE, K. & HOKFELT, T. (1969). Eur. J. Pharmac., 5, 357-366.

- CARRUBA, M. O., GROPPETTI, A., MANTEGAZZA, P., VICENTINI, L. & ZAMBOTTI, F. (1976). Br. J. Pharmac., 56, 431-436.
- CARRUBA, M. O., PICOTTI, G. B., ZAMBOTTI, F., VICENTINI, L. & MANTEGAZZA, P. (1977). J. Neurochem., in the press.
- CARRUBA, M. O., ZAMBOTTI, F., VICENTINI, L. & MANTEGAZZA, P. (1975). Riv. Farmac. Ter., 6, 333-341.
- COLLARD, K. J. & ROBERTS, H. T. (1974). Eur. J. Pharmac., 29, 154-160.
- CORRODI, H. & FUXE, K. (1968). J. Pharm. Pharmac., 20, 230-231.
- CORRODI, H. & FUXE, K. (1969). Eur. J. Pharmac., 7, 56-59.
- CURZON, G. & GREEN, A. R. (1970). Br. J. Pharmac., 39, 653-655.
- DA PRADA, M. & PLETSCHER, A. (1966). J. Pharm. Pharmac., 18, 628-630.

DUNNET, C. W. (1964). Biometrics, 20, 482-491.

FULLER, R. W., PERRY, K. W. & MOLLOY, B. B. (1974). Life Sci., 15, 1161-1171.

- GARATTINI, S., BIZZI, A., DE GAETANO, G., JORI, A. & SAMANIN, R. (1975). In: Recent advances in obesity research: I, p. 354. Editor: Howard, A., London: Newman Publishing Ltd.
- MEEK, J. L., FUXE, K. & CARLSSON, A. (1971). Biochem. Pharmac., 20, 707-709.
- PICOTTI, G. B., CARRUBA, M. O., ZAMBOTTI, F. & MANTEGAZZA, P. (1977). Eur. J. Pharmac., 42, in the press.
- ZAMBOTTI, F., CARRUBA, M. O., BARZAGHI, F., VICENTINI, L., GROPPETTI, A. & MANTEGAZZA, P. (1976). *Ibid.*, 36, 405-412.